28

I, Robert S. Cooper, Esq., declare:

3 4

5

6

1

2

1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all state and federal courts in the state of California, and I am one of the attorneys of record for Defendant Brant Blakeman in the above-entitled action. As such, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and if called upon to testify regarding them I could and would competently do so.

7 8

9

10

11

2. I have been involved in the meet-and-confer process with Plaintiffs' counsel relating to Defendant's motion to compel further responses to interrogatories and production of documents. On January 4, 2017, I attended the in-person meet -and -confer meeting with Plaintiffs' counsel Victor Otten and cocounsel Richard Diffenbach and John Worgul of Veatch Carlson that took place at the offices of my co-counsel Veatch Carlson, LLP in downtown Los

12 13

> Angeles. 3. During that meeting, among other issues discussed and statements made by

15 16

14

obligation to provide further responses to interrogatories or document

the parties, Mr. Otten indicated that he did not believe Plaintiffs had any

18

17

requests propounded by Defendant Blakeman, because they were in his

20

19

belief, "premature." At that point, counsel Otten and Plaintiffs had provided

21

only blanket, boilerplate objections to the interrogatories and document requests propounded by Blakeman.

22 23 4. After some discussion, Mr. Otten then agreed that he would provide substantive responses to the interrogatories within 6 days, on January 10,

24

2017. At no time did Mr. Otten indicate during our in-person meeting or

25 26 thereafter that he was in trial, or starting a trial, or that our agreed deadline of

January 10 for Plaintiffs to further respond in order to preclude our motion to compel not an "actual" deadline.

27

28

5. The responses were supposed to be served and in our offices by January 10th,

28